	Case 1:22-cv-00360-JLT-EPG Docume	ent 17 Filed 08/23/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTOPHER G. VALENCIA,	No. 1:22-cv-0360 JLT EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
13	v.	CERTAIN CLAIMS
14	DEBORAH SAN JUAN,	(Doc. 8)
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Christopher Valencia seeks to hold Deborah San Juan liable for violating his civil rights	
18	during a parole consideration hearing. (Doc. 1.) The assigned magistrate judge found Plaintiff	
19	stated cognizable claims for violations of the due process clause and retaliation. (Doc. 6.)	
20	However, the magistrate judge determined his other claims were not cognizable. (<i>Id.</i> at 6-8.)	
21	Therefore, the magistrate judge indicated Plaintiff could either file an amended complaint or	
22	proceed on the claims found to be cognizable. (<i>Id.</i> at 9-10.)	
23	After Plaintiff indicated his willingness to proceed only on the cognizable claims (Doc. 7),	
24	the assigned magistrate judge recommended "all claims and defendants be dismissed, except for	
25	Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment due process claim against defendant San Juan and his	
26	retaliation claim against defendant San Juan.'	" (Doc. 8 at 2.) Two days after the Findings and
27	Recommendations were issued, Plaintiff agai	n notified the Court that he wished to proceed only
28	on the Fourteenth Amendment due process violation and retaliation claims. (Doc. 11 at 1.) No	

	Case 1:22-cv-00360-JLT-EPG Document 17 Filed 08/23/22 Page 2 of 2	
1	objections to the Findings and Recommendations dated July 11, 2022 were filed.	
2	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this Court conducted a <i>de novo</i>	
3	review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes the Findings	
4	and Recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, the Court	
5	ORDERS:	
6	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on July 11, 2022 (Doc. 8) are	
7	ADOPTED in full.	
8	2. The action SHALL proceed <u>only</u> upon Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment due	
9	process claim against San Juan and his retaliation claim against San Juan.	
10	3. All other claims are DISMISSED .	
11		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: August 22, 2022 United States district judge	
14	V	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		